PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS FIRST ROUND - FINAL RESULTS

Source: ministry of interior*

https://www.resultats-elections.interieur.gouv.fr/presidentielle-2022/FE.html

Liste des candidats	Voix	% Inscrits	% Exprimés
M. Emmanuel MACRON	9 784 985	20,07	27,85
Mme Marine LE PEN	8 135 456	16,69	23,15
M. Jean-Luc MÉLENCHON	7 714 574	15,83	21,95
M. Éric ZEMMOUR	2 485 757	5,10	7,07
Mme Valérie PÉCRESSE	1 679 359	3,44	4,78
M. Yannick JADOT	1 628 249	3,34	4,63
M. Jean LASSALLE	1 101 643	2,26	3,13
M. Fabien ROUSSEL	802 588	1,65	2,28
M. Nicolas DUPONT-AIGNAN	725 305	1,49	2,06
Mme Anne HIDALGO	616 614	1,26	1,75
M. Philippe POUTOU	268 965	0,55	0,77
Mme Nathalie ARTHAUD	197 141	0,40	0,56

*

- 1. The ministry of Interior is of course under the full control of macron's apparatus. They give the numbers they want.
- 2. No independent body checks these numbers when they are at the ministry. There is no way to confirm their veracity.
- 3. There is also no way to know if there were irregularities during electronic transmission or voting:
 - a. voting machine in 77 municipalities (more than 1.3 million voters according to France Elections on their website).
 - b. and between 1.7 to 2.5 million expatriate voters.
- 4. In total 3 to 3.8 million potential votes going through or transmitted through <u>easily cheatable</u> <u>digital platforms</u> or "voting software"... A huge reservoir of voices for a low ethics candidate whose unpopularity reaches 84% of the total population.

- 5. We are also reminded that the Netherlands and Ireland have disqualified the Dutch system used by <u>France Elections</u> (NEDAP) because it was **'unsafe and unreliable'**.
- 6. Added to that the use of yet another crooked system, the <u>Scytl program</u> involved in the <u>rigging</u> of the <u>American elections</u> used by macron's regime to transmit the **1.7 to 2.5 million** expatriates votes and we have a clear picture of what happened in this mock election.
- The difference between macron and Marine Lepen is 1,649,529 votes
- The difference between macron and the third contestant J.L Melenchon is 2,070411 votes

Quite a low difference when we know that 3 to 3.8 million votes were processed through **unsafe** and **unreliable digital platforms** (NEDAP), <u>including one involved in recently rigged</u> elections (<u>Scytl</u>). This would have provided macron with an ample reserve of votes to steal from (and this is without adding the falsification done at the <u>Interior Ministry</u> who has exclusive control over the results).

Plus,

Registered voters - 48,747,876

Abstentions - 12,824,149 26.31% Blank votes - 543,750 1.51% Null votes - 239,341 0.67%

We note the **low amount of blank and null votes** which corroborates what the author of the article wrote about **stealing voices not only from small candidates but from blank and null votes**. Whereas, stealing voices from even a small candidate could potentially be exposed, what are the chances of anyone checking the real amount of blank and null votes? There is no way to know, especially when the final results are in the exclusive hands of a ministry controlled by macron and his henchmen.

	Nombre	% Inscrits	% Votants
Inscrits	48 747 876		
Abstentions	12 824 149	26,31	
Votants	35 923 727	73,69	
Blancs	543 750	1,12	1,51
Nuls	239 341	0,49	0,67
Exprimés	35 140 636	72,09	97,82

Plus,

Many "irregularities" were committed directly in multiple polling stations.

One of the trick used this year was to make voters queue in front of the polling stations for hours until many just gave-up. How this was done? Simply by reducing by half the personnel and

numbers of voting booths. Example in Paris where a polling station with a large numbers of voters saw a reduction from 6 to 3 assessors and 6 to 3 polling booths.

Many just went away without voting. Not voting in this election is equivalent to give a voice to macron and his clique of fraudsters.

Other irregularities concern the way results were misreported or failed to be reported. Some results were even reported by phone without any check!

- One example below -

Citizen control of the presidential elections, 1st round, 10 April 2022

https://www.profession-gendarme.com/controle-citoyen-des-elections-presidentielles-1er-tour-10-avril-2022/

I played my role as a **citizen auditor** of the results of **six offices** in the 19th and 18th boroughs of Paris, for the first round of the elections, on April 10, 2022. Out of 2, **the results were not displayed.**

I courteously protested to the principals (introducing myself as "citizen control") **telling them that the law was not being followed.** I told them that they could still feel concerned as citizens if not as directors.

On the others I was able to photograph the posters taped by hand in the open air, with or without protective plastic. On a college where there were 2 polling stations, the two posters were side by side, but one was not fully completed, the office number and the numbers were missing at the top of the page (another break of electoral laws).

There were two different models, as you can see below, **one with and one without percentages**. Some are crossed out, **and there is no name or signature**. In short, it is not serious for such an important document of our republican life! *It also breaks electoral laws*.

